

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/564,919	01/17/2006	Mauro Barbieri	NL 030869	5313	
24737 PHILIPS INTE	7590 04/03/200 ELLECTUAL PROPER	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001			CHOWDHU	CHOWDHURY, NIGAR	
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2621		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/03/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/564,919	BARBIERI, MAURO					
Examiner	Art Unit					
NIGAR CHOWDHURY	2621					

Office Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	NIGAR CHOWDHURY	2621						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 after 53X (6) MONTHS from the maining date of the communication. - Failure to reply within the set or underded princip of mayby will. by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing agency draint term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,					
Status								
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ja	nuary 2006.							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 January 2006 is/are:		to by the Examin	ier.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	⊢(d) or (f).						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.						
Attachment(s)	_							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) The Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/95/08) 5) Notice of Informat Patent Application								
Paner No(s)/Mail Date 10/3/07	6) Other:							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

1. Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not falling within one of the four statutory categories of invention. While the claims recite a series of steps or acts to be performed, a statutory "process" under 35 U.S.C. 101 must (1) be tied to another statutory category (such as a particular apparatus), or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or material) to a different state or thing (Reference the May 15, 2008 memorandum issued by Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examining Policy, John J. Love, titled "Clarification of 'Processes' under 35 U.S.C. 101"). The instant claims neither transform underlying subject matter nor positively tie to another statutory category that accomplishes the claimed method steps, and therefore do not qualify as a statutory process.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section

351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the Endish language.

- Claims 1-6, 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 2005/0276567 by Okuyama et al.
- Regarding claim 1, a method of detecting a boundary of a content item in a digital video stream, the method comprising the steps of:
 - determining (130) an average bit rate of the video stream over a period of time (fig. 5-6, paragraph 0036-0038), and
 - detecting (140) a change of the average bit rate indicating the boundary of the content item (fig. 5-6, paragraph 0036-0038).
- Regarding claim 2, the method wherein the content item is in a digital broadcast video stream (paragraph 0019).
- Regarding claim 3, the method comprising the steps of: detecting (125) shot-cuts in the content item, and adjusting (126) the period of time to the detected shot-cuts (fig. 5-6, paragraph 0036-0038).
- Regarding claim 4, the method wherein a moving average of the bit rate is determined (fig. 5-6, paragraph 0036-0038).
- Regarding claim 5, the method wherein the content item is a commercial (paragraph 0036).

Art Unit: 2621

 Regarding claim 6, the method wherein the digital video stream is MPEGcompressed (paragraph 0028).

- 9. Regarding claim 8, the method further comprising the steps of:
 - obtaining broadcast schedule data indicating a beginning and/or end of broadcasting at least one content item (paragraph 0038),
 - verifying whether broadcast schedule data are in accordance with the detected boundary of a respective content item in the video stream (fig. 5-6, paragraph 0036-0038).
- 10. Regarding **claim 9**, the method further comprising a step (150) of determining a position of the detected boundary of the content item within a corresponding period of time (fig. 5-6, paragraph 0036-0038).
- Claim 10 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in the corresponding claim 1 above.
- Claim 11 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in the corresponding claim 2 above.
- Claim 12 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in the corresponding claim 1 above.

Application/Control Number: 10/564,919 Page 5

Art Unit: 2621

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

14. Claims 7, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US

2005/0276567 by Okuvama et al.

15. Regarding claim 7, Okuyama discloses the method wherein the content item is

MPEG compressed but fails to disclose the content item is in an encrypted digital video

stream

It is noted that the use of encrypted digital video stream is old and well-known in

the recording art. Therefore, official notice is taken. Moreover, it would have been

obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

have a well-known encrypted digital video stream to receive secure digital broadcast

video signal for user's security purpose.

16. Regarding claim 13. Okuvama discloses the method wherein the content item is

MPEG compressed but fails to disclose a computer program product enabling a

programmable device when executing computer program product to function as the

device.

It is noted that the use of computer program product is old and well-known in the

recording art. Therefore, official notice is taken. Moreover, it would have been obvious

Art Unit: 2621

to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a well-

known computer program product to function as device.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to NIGAR CHOWDHURY whose telephone number is

(571)272-8890. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 AM - 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thai Tran can be reached on 571-272-7382. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have guestions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NC

03/23/2009

/JAMIE JO VENT ATALA/

Application/Control Number: 10/564,919 Page 7

Art Unit: 2621

Examiner, Art Unit 2621